

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. P. Ramakanth Reddy,  
Chief Secretary,  
Hyderabad,  
Andhra Pradesh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Reddy,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Vivek Rae,  
Chief Secretary,  
Port Blair,  
A & N Islands.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Rae,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Tabom Bam,  
Chief Secretary,  
Itanagar,  
Arunachal Pradesh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Bam,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. P C Sarma,  
Chief Secretary,  
Guwahati,  
Assam.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Sarma,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Anup Mukerji,  
Chief Secretary,  
Patna,  
Bihar.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Mukerji,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. P. Joy Oommen,  
Chief Secretary,  
Raipur,  
Chhattisgarh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Oommen,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Pradip Mehra,  
Advisor to Administrator,  
Chandigarh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Mehra,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001


---

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri Satya Gopal,  
Administrator,  
D & N Haveli.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri Gopal,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**


---

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri Satya Gopal,  
Administrator,  
Daman & Diu.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri Gopal,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001


---

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Rakesh Mehta,  
Chief Secretary,  
Delhi.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Mehta,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**


---

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Sanjay Kumar Srivastava,  
Chief Secretary,  
Panjim,  
Goa.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Srivastava,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. D. Rajgopalan,  
Chief Secretary,  
Gandhinagar,  
Gujarat.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Rajgopalan,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Mrs. Urvashi Gulati,  
Chief Secretary,  
Chandigarh,  
Harayana.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Mrs. Gulati,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Smt. Asha Swarup,  
Chief Secretary,  
Simla,  
Himachal Pradesh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Smt. Swarup,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Sham Singh Kapur,  
Chief Secretary,  
Srinagar,  
Jammu & Kashmir.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Kapur,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Shiv Basant,  
Chief Secretary,  
Ranchi,  
Jharkhand.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Basant,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. S. V. Ranganath,  
Chief Secretary,  
Bangalore,  
Karnataka.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Ranganath,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Ms. Neela Gangadharan,  
Chief Secretary,  
Thiruvanthapuram,  
Kerala.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Ms. Gangadharan,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. J. K. Dadoo,  
Administrator,  
Kavaratti,  
Lakshdweep.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Dadoo,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. R. C. Sahni,  
Chief Secretary,  
Bhopal,  
Madhya Pradesh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Sahni,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. J. P. Dange,  
Chief Secretary,  
Mumbai,  
Maharashtra.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Dange,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. D. S. Poonia,  
Chief Secretary,  
Imphal,  
Manipur.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Poonia,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. W.M.S. Pariat,  
Chief Secretary,  
Shilong,  
Meghalaya.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Pariat,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed “protocol for preventing starvation” to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Vanhela Pachuau,  
Chief Secretary,  
Aizwal,  
Mizoram.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Pachuau,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Lalthara,  
Chief Secretary,  
Kohima,  
Nagaland.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Lalthara,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Tarun Kanti Mishra,  
Chief Secretary,  
Bhuvaneshwar,  
Orissa.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Mishra,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. R. Chandra Mohan,  
Administrator,  
Pondicherry.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Mohan,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001


---

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Subodh C. Agrawal,  
Chief Secretary,  
Chandigarh,  
Punjab.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Agrawal,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. T. Srinivasan,  
Chief Secretary,  
Jaipur,  
Rajasthan.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Srinivasan,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. N. D. Chingapa,  
Chief Secretary,  
Gangtok,  
Sikkim.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Chingapa,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Sudhir Sharma,  
Chief Secretary,  
Agartala,  
Tripura.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Sharma,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Thiru K S Sripathy,  
Chief Secretary,  
Chennai,  
Tamil Nadu.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Sripathy,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Atul Kumar Gupta,  
Chief Secretary,  
Lucknow,  
Uttar Pradesh.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Gupta,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. Nrip Singh Napalchyal,  
Chief Secretary,  
Dehradun,  
Uttaranchal.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Napalchyal,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Shri. A. M. Chakraborty,  
Chief Secretary,  
Kolkata,  
West Bengal.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Shri. Chakraborty,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---

December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2009.  
Starvation protocol/779/all states.

Salutation First Name Last Name,  
Designation,  
City,  
States / Uts.

**Subject: Regarding state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths.**

Dear Salutation Last Name,

As you are aware, in our capacity as Commissioners of the Supreme Court in CWP 196/2001, PUCL v. UOI and others, we monitor the implementation of the food and employment related schemes in the country which fall under the purview of the case including the ICDS, MDMS, NREGA, NOAPS, TPDS, NMBS /JSY, NFBS.

There are millions of poor households across the country who live with hunger and in many cases this hunger persists to an extent that it threatens survival and results in starvation deaths. Over the past few months, the media has been highlighting the cases of hunger and starvation deaths from many parts of country. We have also received many independent complaints from individuals as well as civil society groups of the inadequate response to the situation by state agencies in ensuring availability of sufficient food grains in communities who are living with hunger. This is leading to, in many cases, prolonged denials of adequate food to poor and vulnerable people. There is also little by means of support that the families of the deceased are receiving.

The common element that stands out in most of the complaints that we receive is the persistent denial of state agencies about the nature of the death and more often than not, the district administration is found to be expending most of its energies in trying to establish that the deaths were not due to starvation but due to health disorders. This situation is completely unacceptable and we would request State Governments to ensure that the focus shifts now to all the communities that are living with hunger rather than waiting to respond to the crisis after deaths have taken place.

**DR. N. C. SAXENA, COMMISSIONER AND  
HARSH MANDER, SPECIAL COMMISSIONER OF THE SUPREME COURT  
IN THE CASE: PUCL v. UOI & Ors. WRIT PETITION (Civil) No. 196 of 2001**

---


It is imperative in the present context of widespread drought in many districts of the country that the state governments should follow a protocol to prevent starvations amongst poor and vulnerable households.

We are attaching with this letter, a suggested detailed protocol for state action in the context of allegations of starvation deaths. The objective is to establish processes of investigating starvation that are transparent, reliable and respectful of the dignity of the survivors; and mandatory protocols for intervention for relief, prevention and accountability.

We recommend to you to kindly consider following the enclosed "protocol for preventing starvation" to ensure that the state response is appropriate to mitigate some of the distress that is faced by poor and vulnerable groups.

Please get back to us for clarifications, if any, on this. We would request you to send us an action taken report within a month on the steps taken by the State Government to ensure compliance with this protocol.

Yours sincerely,



Dr. N. C. Saxena



Harsh Mander